



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,301 05/04/2001		Minoru Matsushita	P20596	9618	
7055	7590	07/28/2003			
		ERNSTEIN, P.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLA RESTON, V		RKE PLACE		RAM, JOCELYN DEBRA	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3739
DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant/a)					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/848,301	MATSUSHITA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jocelyn D Ram	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		·					
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		to the Contractor which are in later. In					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons te Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 4,6 and 7.							
Claim(s) rejected: 2,3,5,8-14 and 16-21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-37.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
		LINDÁ C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700					

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The applicant has pointed out the common ownership of the Sugiyama reference, and thus the 103a rejection is withdrawn. However, the 102 rejection over Sugiyama is upheld. Regarding the 112 rejection of claim 12, the examiner maintains that claim 12 is not further limiting. Claim 2 requires that the intermediate layer has a higher elasticity than the inner layer and the intermediate has a higher elasticity than the outer layer.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments do not overcome the 102 rejections over Sugiyama..